

# Intelligent Traffic Management Systems Leveraging IoT and Machine Learning

<sup>1</sup>Ajay Vijaykumar Khake and <sup>2</sup>Dr. S.A.Naveed

<sup>1</sup>CSMSS Chh.Shahu College of Engineering, Chh.Sambhajinagar-MH-431011 India

<sup>2</sup>Professor and Head Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Jawaharlal Nehru Engineering College, MGM University, Chh.Sambhajinagar-MH-431003 India

-----\*

## Abstract

*Advanced traffic management systems must be developed and empirically validated in light of the growing problems of urban traffic congestion, safety, and environmental effects. This article summarizes the experimental results from multiple studies, showing the observable advantages and performance indicators attained by using Machine Learning (ML), especially Deep Learning (DL), for advanced analytical and predictive capabilities, and the Internet of Things (IoT) for ubiquitous data collection. We describe several ML models used (YOLO, Deep Reinforcement Learning, CNNs, RNNs/LSTMs), as well as the IoT infrastructure for real-time data collection (sensors, cameras, and edge devices). The results of experiments regularly demonstrate notable gains in real-time responsiveness (e.g., 64.9 ms total decision latency for edge systems), congestion reduction (e.g., 40% decrease in wait time, 70% reduction in transit delays), and traffic flow efficiency. Even though issues such as scalability, data quality, and the "black-box" character of some models still exist, the empirical evidence clearly shows that IoT and ML should continue to be integrated as the foundation for creating safer, smarter, and more sustainable urban mobility solutions.*

**Keywords:** Traffic Control, real time data collection, IoT infrastructure, urban mobility.

## I. Introduction:

Urban areas face significant issues owing to the unrelenting rate of urbanization worldwide, which has caused an unparalleled spike in vehicle traffic. Traffic congestion is a major financial burden and a major factor in rising energy use, greenhouse gas emissions, and a noticeable deterioration in urban air quality and general quality of life. A widespread worldwide problem, the growing demand for effective, high-quality urban transportation systems highlights the urgent need for creative solutions. Historically, basic actuation controls or pre-programmed, fixed-time signal timings have been the mainstays of traffic-control systems [1]. Owing to their inherent stativity and inability to flexibly adjust to changing real-time traffic circumstances, these traditional methods frequently produce significant inefficiencies, including needless delays and worsened congestion. Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS), which use cutting-edge technology to optimize traffic flow, reduce delays, improve road safety, and increase overall urban mobility, have emerged as a key solution to these problems. The ability of ITS to dynamically modify traffic signals in response to current road circumstances, going beyond strict, set timetables, is a crucial difference from conventional systems.

In this study, experimental research on intelligent traffic management systems that combine machine learning (ML) and the Internet of Things (IoT) is synthesized. The goals of this study are to present empirical proof of their effectiveness, highlight the techniques used, and discuss the observed effects on important performance metrics, including response times, congestion reduction, traffic flow efficiency, and environmental advantages[2].

## II. Acquisition of Data and Infrastructure of the IoT:

The Internet of Things infrastructure, which is responsible for gathering data in real time from the actual surroundings, is the cornerstone of these experimental systems. This comprises:

- i. **Sensors:** Ultrasonic, density, RFID, and infrared sensors are used to gather information on vehicle counts, speeds, and densities. These sensors provide raw data on physical properties.
- ii. **Cameras:** CCTV and smart cameras are widely employed to record video feeds, allowing the collection of visual data in real time for tracking, speed calculation, vehicle recognition, and categorization. With information on the vehicle type, speed, lane position, pedestrian activity, and traffic infractions, cameras offer a more comprehensive dataset.
- iii. **Communication Networks:** WiFi, Bluetooth, Zigbee, 4G/5G cellular networks, and LoRa technology for long-range, low-power transmission are just a few of the protocols and technologies used for data transfer. Predictive changes are made possible by the exchange of vehicle position, speed, and route data via vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V), vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I), and vehicle-to-everything (V2X) communication paradigms. High-speed networks, security, redundancy, and

resilience all depend on sophisticated cellular routing solutions.

- iv. **Edge Devices:** For preliminary data processing and localized decision-making, microcontrollers such as the Raspberry Pi and Arduino Uno or more potent NVIDIA Jetson modules are frequently utilized at the network's edge. By reducing the quantity of raw data sent to the cloud, localized processing minimizes latency and uses less bandwidth [3].

## III. Algorithm and Model of Machine Learning:

- These systems use a range of machine learning and deep learning models for analysis, prediction, and control.

- i. **Object recognition Models:** Popular for real-time vehicle recognition, counting, and classification from video feeds include You Only Look Once (YOLO) and its variations (such as YOLOv). YOLO provides real-time information on the number of cars in each lane, their locations, and speeds by processing video feeds from junction cameras.
- ii. **Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL):** Adaptive traffic signal regulation uses algorithms such as Deep Q-Network (DQN) and Deep Deterministic Policy Gradient (DDPG). By dynamically modifying signal timings and continuously interacting with the environment, DRL allows systems to develop optimum control techniques [4]. Additionally, Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning (MARL) has been investigated for distributed control over neighboring junctions.
- iii. **Neural Networks:** Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) are excellent at identifying characteristics in unprocessed data, such as speed and traffic flow. Traffic prediction tasks are well suited to Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks, which are particularly designed to represent

temporal sequences and capture long-range relationships in traffic data [5].

- iv. **Conventional ML Models:** To increase prediction accuracy, some studies have incorporated traditional machine learning models such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Logistic Regression (LR), K-nearest neighbor (KNN), and Random Forest (RF).
- v. **Anomaly Detection:** Using unsupervised learning approaches, deep learning algorithms are trained to recognize anomalous traffic patterns or system behaviors, and they achieve high accuracy in identifying novel and unidentified abnormalities.

#### IV. Experimental Method:

Single junctions, intricate urban grid networks, and actual city deployments (such as Shiraz City, Bucharest, and Pittsburgh) are among the several locations where experiments have been conducted. The main objective of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness of IoT and ML systems in optimizing traffic flow and improving urban mobility.

Scenarios of the experiment:

- i. **Simulation Environments:** To model traffic flow and evaluate different control methods in controlled settings, several studies have used simulation platforms. This enables the quick iteration and assessment of various tactics prior to practical implementation. For example, to determine the best rules for dynamic signal regulation, DRL models are frequently trained using lengthy simulations.
- ii. **Real-World Deployments and Pilot Projects:** Several cities have implemented pilot projects to test IoT and machine learning solutions in real-world traffic situations. New York City, which

incorporated cellular routers at 14,000 junctions, and Pittsburgh, which implemented an AI-driven smart traffic control system throughout the city, are two examples. Another real-world example of using IoT and AI technology to manage traffic lights was in the metropolitan cities of India [6].

#### V. Matrix of Evolution:

Usually, a wide range of measures are used to assess performance to measure the influence of intelligent systems.

##### A) Efficiency of Traffic Flow

- a) Average vehicle delay time.
- b) Average stop time.
- c) Intersection throughput (vehicles per unit of time).
- d) Vehicle waiting times and queue lengths were also considered.
- e) Travel time

A strong foundation for handling challenging urban transportation issues is produced by combining ML's sophisticated analytical skills with IoT's ubiquitous real-time data collection. The noted decreases in travel times, vehicle wait times, and general congestion (e.g., 70% fewer travel delays, 40% fewer wait times) are not merely small-scale gains; rather, they signify a fundamental change in traffic efficiency.

##### B) Environmental Impact

- a) Fuel consumption.<sup>26</sup>
- b) Greenhouse gas emissions (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>).

##### C) Accuracy and Responsiveness of the System:

- a) Mean Absolute Error (MAE), Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE), R-Squared

(R2), and mean average Precision (MAP) are examples of predictive accuracy metrics.

- b) Latency (total decision latency, communication latency, and inference time).
- c) Accuracy of the anomaly detection.

#### D) Emergency and Safety Responses:

- a) Emergency response time.
- b) Detection of traffic violations (e.g., running a red light and speeding).

### VI. Findings and Result:

According to empirical research, intelligent traffic management systems that combine IoT and ML perform better than conventional techniques. Several tests have demonstrated notable enhancements in traffic flow and a decrease in congestion.

- i. **Adaptive Traffic Signal Control:** AI-powered adaptive traffic signal control pilot projects have shown significant advantages, such as 26% shorter travel times and 40% shorter vehicle wait times. 25 Using DRL-based solutions, another study found that average vehicle delays were reduced by 37% compared to fixed-time controls and 32% compared to actuate controls.
- ii. **Dynamic Signal Adjustments:** Systems that dynamically modify green light transitions and durations in response to current traffic demand have demonstrated significant decreases in pollutants, fuel consumption, and vehicle delays [7].
- iii. **Overall Congestion Reduction:** Pilot experiments have demonstrated that hybrid IoT and Deep Learning systems can reduce traffic by up to 50% and travel delays by 70%.

#### A) Real-time Responsiveness and Latency

Real-time responsiveness is greatly improved by combining edge computing with IoT and DL.

- i. **Edge AI Performance:** Mean inference time of 47.6 ms and communication latency of 17.3 ms were attained by distributed edge installations, yielding a total decision latency of 64.9 ms [8]. This performed noticeably better than cloud-based (209.9 ms) and centralized (126.9 ms) solutions.
- ii. **Frame Processing:** NVIDIA Jetson modules on edge devices can process 30 frames per second, allowing real-time feedback loops for dynamic signal changes and instantaneous violation flagging.
- iii. **Bandwidth Reduction:** By processing data locally, Edge AI in smart traffic management can reduce bandwidth utilization by up to 80–84% and lessen the need for centralized cloud systems.

#### B) Vehicle Detection, Classification, and Predictive Accuracy

ML algorithms, especially deep learning algorithms, are quite accurate in predicting and perceiving traffic.

- i. **YOLOv5 Accuracy:** Vehicle detection systems that use YOLOv5 achieve a mean average precision (MAP) of 0.88.16[9]. When paired with DeepSORT, advanced YOLO versions have demonstrated increased accuracy and quick computation rates, reaching real-time speeds of approximately 32 frames per second.
- ii. **Traffic Forecasting:** In field of urban traffic forecasting, deep learning models have demonstrated remarkable accuracy scores of 91%. The Mean Squared Error (MSE) for the 12-hour traffic patterns predicted by the RNN-LSTM models was 4.52.16.

- iii. **Classification Accuracy:** For traffic flow optimization and management, combining traditional machine learning models (SVM, RF) with feature selection produced high-performance techniques with accuracy rates of 94.89% (SVM+SAFS) and 95.02% (RF+SAFS).

**C) Intelligent solutions that optimize traffic flow also improve the environment.**

- i. **Emission Reduction:** These solutions help enhance air quality and reduce harmful emissions by 20% by eliminating stops and idling. According to pilot projects, vehicle emissions are expected to decrease by 21% in the future.
- ii. **Fuel Consumption:** Research has shown that intelligent systems may reduce fuel consumption. In contrast to the standard model's 0.88 passing-vehicle ratio, one experimental model demonstrated an enhanced ratio of 1.33, suggesting improved traffic flow and perhaps lower fuel usage.

**D) Anomaly Detection and Safety Enhancement**

Deep learning models work well for identifying irregularities and improving security.

- i. **Accuracy of Anomaly Detection:** In complicated IoT networks, deep anomaly detection algorithms identified unusual behaviors with an accuracy of over 98%.
- ii. **Enhancements in Safety:** Public safety is improved when red-light running or speeding is detected in real time within milliseconds, enabling prompt notifications. Response times are significantly shortened by the ability to prioritize emergency vehicles by turning signals green in advance, which is crucial in emergency situations.

Real-world pilot deployments, simulation-based studies, and controlled laboratory trials are often used in experimental research on intelligent traffic management employing the IoT and ML. These studies used various ML models and data sources to accomplish specific traffic optimization goals. The overall study methodology entails a methodical and thorough investigation, frequently utilizing a hypothesis-driven approach, that deep learning models are capable of effectively detecting irregularities and optimizing traffic in complex datasets. An extensive literature study, careful data preprocessing, rigorous model installation and training, and thorough assessment and validation are all steps in the investigative process.

**Table 1: Performance Comparison of Intelligent Traffic Management Systems**

Metric	Traditional Systems	IoT + ML Systems (Observed Range/Specific Result)	Improvement
Vehicle Wait Time Reduction	Baseline	40% reduction	Significant
Travel Time Reduction	Baseline	26-70% reduction	Significant
Average Vehicle Delay Reduction	Baseline	32-37% reduction (vs. actuated/fixed-time)	Significant
Congestion Reduction	Baseline	Up to 50% reduction	Substantial

Total Decision Latency (Edge AI)	126.9-209.9	64.9	49-69% reduction
Bandwidth Usage Reduction (Edge AI)	Baseline	80-84% reduction	Substantial
Traffic Forecasting Accuracy	Lower	91% accuracy	High
Vehicle Detection MAP (YOLOv5)	N/A	0.88	High
Anomaly Detection Accuracy	Lower	>98% accuracy	Very High
Harmful Emissions Reduction	Baseline	20-21% reduction	Significant

The following patterns are commonly shown in experimental research to illustrate system performance, even when particular raw data are not available for creating comprehensive graphs.

- i. **Congestion over Time:** For conventional and intelligent systems, a line graph displays the traffic density or wait length over a 24-hour period [10]. Particularly during rush hours, the intelligent system line continuously exhibited lower peaks and a quicker recovery from congestion.
- ii. **Average Delay per Vehicle:** A bar graph that contrasts the average delays encountered by vehicles at junctions with DRL-based adaptive, actuated, and fixed-

time controls. The system based on DRL had the least average delay.

- iii. **Predictive Accuracy:** Compared to conventional forecasting techniques, a scatter plot or line graph displaying anticipated vs. Real traffic flow exhibits a tighter clustering around the optimal line for deep learning models.
- iv. **Environmental Impact:** A bar graph showing the advantages of efficient traffic flow for the environment by contrasting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions or fuel consumption per vehicle for various traffic management techniques.

## VII. Conclusion:

The revolutionary potential of combining IoT and machine learning in intelligent traffic control systems is clearly demonstrated by experimental studies. These systems significantly increase traffic flow efficiency, congestion reduction, and road safety by utilizing IoT for ubiquitous, real-time data collecting and Deep Learning for advanced analysis, prediction, and adaptive control. The quantitative findings of numerous studies demonstrate significant decreases in vehicle wait times, travel times, and emissions, in addition to improved real-time responsiveness brought about by edge computing. As the foundation for creating smarter, more sustainable, and safer urban mobility solutions, empirical data clearly favor ongoing investment in and development of these hybrid systems. Further developments in edge AI and federated learning for increased privacy and efficiency, multimodal optimization strategies that consider all road users, and deeper integration with connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs) through V2X communication are likely to be in store for the future. For these game-changing technologies to be widely adopted and

succeed in the long run, it is imperative to address enduring issues with scalability, data quality, and the "black-box" character of some models. Ultimately, the future of urban mobility depends on the smooth integration of the predictive and adaptive intelligence of machine learning with the ubiquitous sensing powers of the Internet of Things.

### References:

- [1] Cools, S.B., Gershenson, C. and D’Hooghe, B. (2013). Self-organizing traffic lights: A realistic simulation,” in Springer Advances in applied self-organizing systems, pp. 45–55.
- [2] Devailly, F.X., Larocque, D., and Charlin, L. (2021). Ig-rl: Inductive graph reinforcement learning for massive-scale traffic signal control, IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems (TITS).
- [3] Hong, W. Tao, G. Wang, H. and Wang, C. (2022) “Traffic signal control with adaptive online-learning scheme using multiple-model neural networks,” IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems (TNNLS).
- [4] Koonce, P. and Rodegerdts, L. (2008). Traffic signal timing manual.” tech. rep., United States. Federal Highway Administration
- [5] Liang, X, Du, X., Wang, G. and Han, Z (2019). A deep reinforcement learning network for traffic light cycle control,” IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology (TVT), vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 1243–1253.
- [6] Liu, Y., Liu, S., Wang, Y., Lombardi, F. and Han, J. (2020). A survey of stochastic computing neural networks for machine learning applications, IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems (TNNLS), vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 2809–2824,
- [7] Tang, T.Q., Yi, Z.Y., & Lin, Q.F. (2017). Effects of signal light on fuel consumption and emissions under car-following model,” Elsevier Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, vol. 469, pp. 200–205.
- [8] Varaiya, P. (2013). The max-pressure controller for arbitrary networks of signalized intersections,” in Springer Advances in dynamic network modeling in complex transportation systems, pp. 27–66.
- [9] Vazifeh, M.M., Santi, P. Resta, P. Strogatz, S. and Ratti, C. Addressing the minimum fleet problem in on-demand urban mobility,” Nature, vol. 557, no. 7706, p. 534, 2018.
- [10] Zhu, M., Liu, X.Y., Tang, F. Qiu, M., Shen, R., Shu, W. and Wu, M.Y. (2016). Public vehicles for future urban transportation, IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems (TITS), vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 3344–3353, 2016.